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Immigrant minors in the U.S.

• Education is one of the greatest costs associated with immigration (National Research Council 1997)

• Limited English Proficient (LEP) students increased 105% between 1990 and 2000 => only 12% among general school population (Kindler 2002)

• First-generation students are 1.22 as expensive as average student (National Research Council 1997)
Fiscal cost

• Most immigrants arrive at working ages (Batalova 2007) => U.S. uses their labor but doesn’t pay for their education

• 42 billion spent on K-12 schooling for immigrants and their children in 1994 (Lee and Miller 1998)
Education as a social good

• Positive externalities to education = > Everyone is more productive when a labor force is more educated (T.P. Schultz, Handbook of Development Economics Volume I)

• Proven empirically by Rauch (1993), Moretti (2004)
“The United States may not care about the children of the barrios, but it must start to address their problems now. If it lets them fail, there will be a great price to pay.”

Research questions

• How much does the U.S. pay to educate immigrant minors relative to overall education spending?
• What is the cost of not educating immigrant minors in terms of lost productivity?
## Data

**Current Population Survey (2009)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Foreign-born</th>
<th>Second Generation</th>
<th>Natives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
<td>Born abroad</td>
<td>1+ parents born</td>
<td>Born in U.S. AND both parents born in U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>27,897</td>
<td>23,079</td>
<td>156,945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cost of educating immigrant minors in 2009

- Age profiles of total spending on education provided by Gretchen Donehower using NTA methodology
- For immigrants, multiply by 1.22 based on National Research Council 1997
Present value of all education spending

\[ E_{t,s}(x) = E_t(x) \cdot e^{(r-\lambda)(t-s)} \]

- \( E_{t,s}(x) \) = Present value of educational expenditure at time \( t \) for age \( x \) adjusted for \( t - s \) years
- \( E_t(x) \) = Educational expenditure at time \( t \) for age \( x \)
- \( \lambda \) = Productivity growth = 0.02
- \( r \) = real discount rate = 0.05
- \( s \) = year when the individual was \( x \)-year old,
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\[ E_{t,s}(x) \] = Present value of educational expenditure at time \( t \) for age \( x \) adjusted for \( t-s \) years

\[ E_t(x) \] = Educational expenditure at time \( t \) for age \( x \)

\( \lambda \) = Productivity growth = 0.02

\( r \) = real discount rate = 0.05

\( s \) = year when the individual was \( x \) - year old,

spending \( E_s(x) = E_t(x)e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \) in her education in that year.
Externalities I

Gain in wages – cost of educating immigrants minors

\[ E_{t,s}(x) = E_t(x) * e^{(r-\lambda')(t-s)} \]

\[ \lambda = \text{Productivity growth of natives} = 0.02 \]

\[ \lambda' = \text{Productivity growth of immigrants} = 0.015 \]

Assumption: \( \lambda' < \lambda \)
Externalities II

\[ E_{t,s}(x) = E_t(x) \times e^{(r - \lambda_{t,E})(t-s)} \]

\[ \lambda_{t,E} = \text{Productivity at year } t \text{ for an individual with level } E \text{ of education.} \]

\[ \lambda_{t,E} \] is defined as follows

At year \( t \), we estimate the share by level of education.
For every 1% increase in the share of college educated individuals, we increase the productivity \( \lambda_{t,E} \) by (Moretti, 2004):
- 1.9% for high-school drop-outs,
- 1.6% for high-school graduates,
- 1.2% people with some college, and
- 0.4% for college graduates.
Assumptions

- Education starts at age 5
- Education is continuous, i.e. if started schooling at age 5 and ended at age 15, received 10 years of education
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- Immigrants: 5%
- Immigrants + 2nd gen.: 30%

NTA
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Conclusions

- Immigrants represent about 7% of all educational spending in one year.
- The 2\textsuperscript{nd} generation adds substantial costs to educational spending.
- Immigrants in the U.S. complete most of their schooling abroad.
- A small increase in education of immigrants increases total population productivity quite a bit.